James H. Kaster Direct: (612) 256-3202 Fax: (612) 338-4878 kaster@nka.com 4600 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 (877) 448-0492

April 21, 2014

## VIA CM/ECF

The Honorable J.P. Stadtmueller U.S. District Judge, Eastern District of Wisconsin United States District Court 517 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202

Re:

U.S. ex rel. Nelson v. Sanford-Brown Limited

Court File No. 12-cv-775-JPS

## Your Honor:

Relator has very seriously considered the Court's April 8th pretrial conference remarks and recognizes that a lot of trial preparation still needs to be done. It is Relator's understanding that the Court is encouraging the parties to utilize the services of Magistrate Judge Aaron E. Goodstein to help the parties work-out their outstanding disputes. In recognition of the fact that the Court will soon be burdened with additional briefing on the Defendant's pending summary judgment motion, and because relator believes that with Magistrate Goodstein's help, the parties can expeditiously continue the trial preparation process, Relator respectfully requests the Court exercise its authority under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and General Local Rule 72(b)(2)(S) to refer the following motions to Magistrate Goodstein for consideration:

Date Filed	Docket No.		
	Motion	Resp.	Description of Motion
3/24/14	118-9	129	Defendant's Motion to Exceed the Page Limits for Deposition
			Designations of Steve Guell and Dr. Albert Gray
3/24/14	120-1	133-4	Relator's Motion to Reopen the Deposition of Steve Guell
3/25/14	122-4	194-5	Defendant's Motion to Exclude Relator's Expert John Lee
3/28/14	126-8	211-2	Relator's Motion to Exclude Defendant's Expert Kent Talbert
3/31/14	130-2	230	Defendant's Motion to Strike the Late-Disclosed Opinion of John Lee
			or, in the Alternative, Exclude it Under Rule 702 and Daubert

Relator	Relator's Motions in Limine								
Date	Docke	et No.	Description of Motion						
Filed	Motion	Resp.							
4/3/14	169-71	203-4	Regarding the Authenticity of Documents Produced by Defendant						
			During Discovery and Offered by Relator at Trial						
	172-4	201-2	To Prohibit Reference to Relator's Post-Employment Bonus						
			Correspondence Regarding His Bonus from Defendant						
	175-7	200	Regarding the Government's Decision Not to Intervene						
	178-80	198-9	To Prohibit Argument that Relator Must Identify and/or Prove Every						
			Title IV Violation Committed by Defendant						
	181-3	205-6	Regarding Admissibility of Defendant's Business Documents						
	184-6	196-7	To Preclude Defendant from Arguing that Because SBC was						
			Accredited at all Times, It Did Not, and Could Not Have, Violated						
			the False Claims Act						
	187-9	209	To Bar Reference to Relator's Share of the Government's Recovery						
	190-2	207-8	Regarding the Inexactitude of Damages						

Defend	Defendant's Motions in Limine								
Date	Dock	et No.	Description of Motion						
Filed	Motion	Resp.							
4/3/14	135-7,	213-4	To Preclude Testimony of Undisclosed Witnesses						
	168								
	138-40	215	To Preclude Evidence of News and Media Reports						
	141-3	216	To Preclude Hearsay Evidence						
	144-6	223	To Preclude Evidence of Manipulated Records by any Person Who						
			Lacks Personal Knowledge						
	147-9	217	To Preclude Evidence of Other Lawsuits and Investigations						
	150-2	218	To Preclude Evidence of Dismissed Allegations						
	153-5	225	To Preclude Evidence of Events and Conduct at Campuses Other						
			than SBC-Milwaukee						
	156-8	224	To Preclude Evidence of Certain Incentive Compensation						
	159-61	222	To Preclude Evidence of Alleged Statements Made by Mark Szolyga						
	162-4	219-20	To Preclude Use of the Word "Forgery"						
	165-7	221	To Preclude Evidence of Events and Conduct Outside the Relevant						
			Timeframe						

Relator also requests that the Court refer all disputed issues in the Joint Pretrial Report (ECF 193), including Defendant's objections to Relator's exhibit list, objections to deposition designations, disputed jury instructions (specifically the disagreement over the burden of proof), and disputed verdict forms, to the Magistrate.

At this time, Defendant does not choose to join Relator in making his request. Thank you for the Court's consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP

lames H. Kaster

JHK/jmo

cc: Counsel of Record (via CM/ECF)